UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,893	02/09/2001	Kent Jorgensen	0459-0554P	3281

2292 7590 03/06/2003

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER
KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/781,893	Jorgensen
Examiner	**Art Unit**
Gollamudi Kishore	1615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *three* MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Dec 9, 2002*.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) *1-56* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) *12, 13, 22, and 25-56* is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) *1-11, 14-21, 23, and 24* is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:

1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

The response dated 12-9-02 is acknowledged.

Claims included in the prosecution are 1-11, 14-21 and 23-24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> **(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.**

2. Claims 1-11, 14-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Hong (4,622,392) or Hong (5,484,911) or Peterson (5,827,836) in combination with Janjic (6,229,002) and Vermehren (BBA, 1998) (the references are all of record).

The references of Hong (392), Hong (911), Peterson each discloses phospholipid prodrugs wherein the carbon 1 of the glycerol has an aliphatic chain and the carbon 2 has an organic radical and carbon 3 has a phosphatidyl group . According to the references, the organic radical is released by phospholipase A2. These phospholipids can be in the form of liposomes (note the abstract, columns 1-6 and Examples of Hong 392; abstract, columns 3-7 and Examples of Hong 911; abstract, columns 7-15 and examples of Peterson).

What is lacking in Hong 392, 911 and Peterson are the teachings of the inclusion of a lipopolymer.

Janjic while disclosing lipid constructs containing PDGF teaches the several advantages of administration of the composition in the form of liposomes and the attachment of PEG to the liposomal surface to shield the liposomal complex from blood proteins and thereby enable it to circulate for extended periods in the blood. According to Janjic, the prodrug is on the outside surface of the liposomes (note the abstract, col. 25, line 5 through col. 28, line 67).

Vermehren while disclosing liposomes containing PEG teaches that PEG not only provide steric hindrance which leads to a decrease in the adsorption and interaction of plasma degrading proteins with the liposomal surface, but also enables PLA2 to have increased catalytic activity on the phospholipid containing liposomes. Based on their studies, Vermehren suggest that one can design and optimize the in vivo degradation of drug loaded liposomes at certain sites, e.g., in extravascular inflammatory tissue due to an enhanced local concentration of the active PLA2 and an accumulation of polymer -grafted liposomes in such tissue (note pages 31-34).

The use of polymer (PEG) containing liposomes for the delivery of the prodrugs of Hong 392, or 911 or Peterson would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because the advantages of the liposomes and the ability of PEG to prolong the circulation time of the liposomes and increasing their susceptibility to PLA2 in the host pathological

tissue and thereby increasing the release of the drug attached to the carbon 2 of the phospholipids.

3. Claims 1-11, 14-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kozak (6,166,089) of record in combination with Janjic (6,229,002) and Vermehren (BBA, 1998) of record.

Kozak discloses phospholipid prodrugs wherein the carbon 1 of the glycerol has an aliphatic chain and the carbon 2 has an organic radical and carbon 3 has a phosphatidyl group . According to Kozak the organic radical is released by phospholipase A2 present in the pathological tissue (note the abstract, col. 4, line 41 through col. 11, line 9, Examples and claims).

What is lacking in Kozak is the inclusion of a lipopolymer and the administration of the composition in the form of liposomes.

Janjic while disclosing lipid constructs containing PDGF teaches the several advantages of administration of the composition in the form of liposomes and the attachment of PEG to the liposomal surface to shield the liposomal complex from blood proteins and thereby enable it to circulate for extended periods in the blood. According to Janjic, the prodrug is on the outside surface of the liposomes (note the abstract, col. 25, line 5 through col. 28, line 67).

Vermehren while disclosing liposomes containing PEG teaches that PEG not only provide steric hindrance which leads to a decrease in the adsorption and interaction of

plasma degrading proteins with the liposomal surface, but also enables PLA2 to have increased catalytic activity on the phospholipid containing liposomes. Based on their studies, Vermehren suggest that one can design and optimize the in vivo degradation of drug loaded liposomes at certain sites, e.g., in extravascular inflammatory tissue due to an enhanced local concentration of the active PLA2 and an accumulation of polymer -grafted liposomes in such tissue (note pages 31-34).

The use of polymer (PEG) containing liposomes for the delivery of the prodrug of Kozak would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because the advantages of the liposomes and the ability of PEG to prolong the circulation time of the liposomes and increasing their susceptibility to PLA2 in the host pathological tissue and thereby increasing the release of the drug attached to the carbon 2 of the phospholipid in Kozak.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but are not found to be persuasive. Essence of applicant's arguments appear to be that Kozak teaches away from formulating the prodrugs into liposomes as evident from col. 6, lines 4-6. This argument is not found to be persuasive since the reason for Kozak's teachings of not to use liposomes is because the liposomes are taken up by the reticuloendothelial system (RES), (liver, macrophages). However, both references of Janjic and Vermehren teach the purpose of linking PEG to the lipid (lipopolymer), that is increase in circulation time of the liposomes without being taken up by the RES. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use liposomes in Kozak for the art known advantages of liposomes and attach

PEG to the phospholipid forming the bilayer membrane of the liposomes in order to increase the circulation time of the liposomes and avoiding the RES.

Applicant argues that the prodrugs disclosed in Kozak have acyl-linked groups on both carbon 1 and carbon 2 whereas in the present invention, however, anticancer lysolipids, such as ether lysolipids constitute lysolipid part of the prodrug phospholipid. This argument is not found to be persuasive since the claim language in claim 1 does not exclude this limitation.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *G.S. Kishore* whose telephone number is (703) 308-2440.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.K. Page, can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-3592.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [thurman.page@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1235.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph. D

Primary Examiner

Group 1600

gsk

March 5, 2003